# Quanterix Trades Meaningfully Below Cash, Demonstrating Shareholders See Value Destruction from the Merger

At \$5.36 per share, Quanterix has a -\$61M enterprise value, compared to historically trading with a positive enterprise value and multiple of 3x revenue

#### **Selected Publicly-Traded Companies**

Company	TEV / LTM Revenue (01/08/25)	TEV / LTM Revenue (4/15/25)	Multiple Chg. (%)	Share Price Close (4/15/25)
10x Genomics, Inc. (TXG)	2.5x	1.1x	-56%	\$7.68
Cytek Biosciences, Inc. (CTKB)	3.1x	1.1x	-65%	\$3.70
Oxford Nanopore Technologies plc (LSE:ONT)	6.1x	4.9x	-19%	\$1.18
Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc. (PACB)	6.2x	4.3x	-30%	\$1.14
Standard BioTools Inc. (LAB)	2.4x	1.0x	-58%	\$1.19
Twist Bioscience Corporation (TWST)	8.0x	6.6x	-18%	\$34.56
Peer Average Multiple	4.7x	3.2x	-33%	
Quanterix Corporation (QTRX)	<b>1.5</b> x	-0.4x	-130%	\$5.36
Quanterix at Peer Average Multiple		<b>3.2</b> x		\$18.15
% Upside (Downside)				239%
Quanterix at Peer Average Multiple Reval.		<b>1.0</b> x	-33%	\$10.44
% Upside (Downside)				95%

### **Historical Enterprise Value / Revenue**

Year	Average TEV / LTM Revenue
2022	2.0x
2023	4.1x
2024	3.0x
Average TEV/Rev	3.0x
Current TEV/Rev	-0.4x
Share Price Close (4/15/25)	\$5.36
Share Price Hist. Avg. Multiple	\$17.64
% Upside (Downside)	229%

### Enterprise Value Disconnect Highlights Flawed Merger Economics

	QTRX Stock Price	% From 4/15 Close	AKYA Equity Value	AKYA Net Debt	AKYA Total Enterprise Value	QTRX Equity Value	QTRX Net Cash (-\$20M Emission Pmts.)	QTRX Total Enterprise Value	AKYA % TEV	QTRX % TEV	AKYA TEV / FY24 Rev	QTRX TEV / FY24 Rev
Close Price 04/15/2025	\$5.36		\$95,857	-\$46,604	\$142,461	\$207,909	\$269,122	-\$61,213	<b>175</b> %	<i>-7</i> 5%	1.7x	-0.4x
At similar multiples	\$32.78	511.6%	\$549,170		\$595,774	\$1,271,569		\$1,002,447	37%	63%	7.3x	7.3x
52W High	\$19.18	257.7%	\$324,236		\$370,840	\$743,780		\$474,658	44%	56%	4.5x	3.5x
Unaffected (1/8/25)	\$11.73	118.8%	\$201,161		\$247,765	\$454,995		\$185,873	57%	43%	3.0x	1.4x
S-4 Price (2/10/25)	\$7.83	46.1%	\$136,689		\$183,293	\$303,718		\$34,596	84%	16%	2.2x	0.3x
Proxy Price (3/18/25)	\$7.15	33.4%	\$125,448		\$172,052	\$277,342		\$8,220	95%	5%	2.1x	0.1x
52W Low	\$4.67	-12.9%	\$84,451		\$131,055	\$181,145		-\$87,977	304%	-204%	<b>1.6</b> x	-0.6x

## Lack of Disclosure Around \$40M Synergy Target

- Quanterix management's \$40M synergy target relies entirely on vague references to "streamlined commercial infrastructure," "operational efficiencies," and "elimination of duplicative corporate structures and redundancies"
- No specific disclosure around how synergies will be allocated across business units, functions, or specific locations
- CEO Dr. Toloue claims "clear line of sight" into synergies, but has not provided any concrete detail on the go-forward commercial infrastructure or integration strategy
- \$40M synergy target appears speculative and overly dependent on aggressive headcount reduction rather than genuine operational efficiencies or strategic initiatives

There's a lot of <u>overlap in geography</u> in the areas we operate in our business model <u>as well as our key customers</u>. So bringing that together as a total solution through one sales and service team is great from a cost perspective...there's definitely a facility element here, but there's also a fair amount of **commonality in our fulfillment processes**...And then we're 2 small-cap public companies. So there's fairly significant overlap in terms of the corporate function of both companies that we'll be able to streamline.

- QTRX CFO Vandana Sriram on M&A Call (January 10, 2025)



Salesforce Reduction

**Facility Closure** 

**Public Company Overhead** 

### NIH Funding Cuts Give Shareholders Even More Reason To Reject This Deal

- A broad reduction in research spending means fewer grants to NIH-funded labs, directly shrinking the pool of instrument buyers, and likely undermining both Akoya and Quanterix's Outlook. This <u>intensifies</u> the risk for Akoya, whose core revenue is ~1/3 instrument sales (vs. QTRX at ~8%)<sup>2</sup>
- Akoya's <u>high cash burn and substantial leverage</u> leave it more exposed to a softer capital-equipment environment <u>amplifying concerns over financial</u> <u>stability</u>
- Industry deterioration could jeopardize management's near-term synergy assumptions, making the combined model look even worse
- Overall, we believe the subsequent impact of NIH funding cuts make AKYA's balance sheet situation untenable currently, AKYA likely has little (or no) positive equity value

#### **NEW Risk Factors Added in March – AFTER Deal Announcement**



Reduction in or suspension of certain federal research grants...including funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), may negatively impact spending within our industry and cause uncertainty. Certain of our customers, including academic institutions and research organizations, may depend in whole or in part on federal grants to advance their medical research activities. Any prolonged suspensions or reductions in such funding could slow innovation, delay collaborations, and limit the adoption of new technologies that contribute to our business growth.



- QTRX FY24 10K (March 17, 2025)



Our customers include biopharmaceutical companies and academic and clinical institutions... Fluctuations in the research and development budgets of our customers could have a significant effect on the demand for our products and services... Disruptions at these customers, including...personnel reductions at agencies such as the FDA, may also slow the time necessary for new product candidates to be reviewed and/or approved by necessary government agencies or may slow or stall planned or ongoing research. If their research and development budgets are reduced, the impact could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

- AKYA FY24 10K (March 17, 2025)



Source: Capital IQ, public filings

<sup>1)</sup> Per QTRX CFO on 1/10/25 M&A Call: "...our split is ... between 45% and 55% between pharma biotech and academia. For Akoya, the split is slightly more skewed towards pharma a little over 60% for pharma, biotech and CROs and the rest is academic and government."

<sup>2)</sup> Instrument revenues as a percentage of total revenues over the trailing twelve-months 9/30/24.